

- iii. Fish and macroinvertebrates collected by trawls shall be identified to the lowest taxon possible. At all stations and for each replicate, community structure analysis for fish and macroinvertebrates<sup>23</sup> shall be conducted for each station.
  - iv. Mean, range, standard deviation, and 95% confidence limits, if appropriate, shall be reported for the values determined in the community structure analysis. The Discharger may use other statistical tools to determine temporal and spatial trends in the fish and macroinvertebrate population in the marine environment.
  - v. Abnormalities and disease symptoms shall be described and recorded (e.g., fin erosion, external lesions, tumors, ectoparasites, and color anomalies). The frequency of abnormalities and incidence of disease shall be compared between the Zone of Initial Dilution (ZID) boundary and the reference station, and trends in these values shall be measured over time. The results of this comparison shall be included in the monitoring report.
- b. Bagged Mussel Tissue
- i. Bags of mussels will be deployed on anchored arrays, in replicate, at three locations (SS1, SS2 and SS3) in the vicinity of the outfall for a period of three months, from July to December in year four of the permit. A set of control mussels will be frozen at the beginning of the three month deployment, held for three months and then analyzed along with the field deployed mussels. The field deployed mussels will be retrieved after three months, dissected and analyzed for contaminants.
  - ii. All mussle tissue samples shall be analyzed for wet weight and percent lipid.
  - iii. Testing shall include analysis for: Arsenic; Cadmium; Chromium (total); Copper; Lead; Mercury; Nickel; Silver; Zinc; Cyanide; Phenolic compounds (non-chlorinated); Phenolic compounds (chlorinated); Total halogenated organic compounds; Aldrin and Dieldrin; Endrin; HCH; Chlordane and related compounds; Total DDT; DDT derivatives; Total PCB; PCB derivatives; Toxaphene; Total PAH; and PAH derivatives and all priority pollutants.
  - iv. The data for these parameters shall be expressed in µg/kg dry weight.

## 2. Regional Fish and Macroinvertebrate Survey

This regional survey is designed to determine the extent, distribution, magnitude and trend of ecological change in demersal fish and epibenthic invertebrate communities within the Southern California Bight and the relationship between biological response and contaminant exposure. The data collected will be used to assess the condition of the seafloor environment and health of biological resources within the Bight.

---

<sup>23</sup> Community structure analysis of fish and macroinvertebrates shall include wet weight of fish and macroinvertebrate species (when combined weight of individuals of one species exceeds 0.2 kg), standard length of each individual, number of species, number of individuals per species, total numerical abundance per station, number of individuals in each 1-cm size class for each species of fish, species abundance per trawl and per station, species richness, species diversity (e.g., Shannon-Wiener), species evenness, similarity analyses (e.g., Bray-Curtis, Jaccard or Sorensen), cluster analyses (using unweighted pair-group method) or other appropriate multivariate statistical techniques approved by the Executive Officer of the Regional Water Board..

A regional survey of trawl-caught demersal fish and megabenthic invertebrates within the Southern California Bight took place in 2013 (Bight'13). The final survey design was determined cooperatively by the participants as represented on the Regional Steering Committee. The Discharger provided support to the Bight'13 surveys in the following ways:

- a. Participation on the Steering Committee;
- b. Participation on the relevant Technical Committees (e.g., Information Management, Field Methods and Logistics, Fish and Invertebrates);
- c. Field sampling at sea;
- d. Trawl sample analysis; and,
- e. Data management

The Discharger's level of participation in previous Bight surveys has been consistent. The same level of participation is expected in the Bight '18 and Bight '23 surveys.

#### D. Seafood Safety Monitoring

##### 1. Local Seafood Safety Survey

This survey is designed to determine if tissue concentrations of contaminants exceed the Advisory Tissue Concentration (ATC) where seafood consumption advisories exist locally, and tissue contaminant trends relative to the ATC in other species and for other contaminants not currently subject to local consumption advisories. The data collected will be used to provide information necessary for the management of local seafood consumption advisories.

- a. One species from each of five groups of fish (rockfish, kelpbass, sandbass, surfperches and croakers) shall be sampled from each of the three zones, no later than year four of the permit. For rockfishes, scorpionfish (*Scorpaena guttata*) is the preferred species, followed by bocaccio (*Sebastes paucispinis*) and then by any other abundant and preferably benthic rockfish species. For surfperches, black surfperch (*Embiotoca jacksoni*) is the preferred species, followed by white surfperch (*Phanerodon furcatus*) and then by walleye surfperch (*Hyperprosopon argenteum*). For croakers, white croaker is the preferred species, followed by black croaker, and then by white seabass. If an insufficient number of croakers are collected and a significant effort has been made to collect the appropriate number of croakers, one of the following alternative species may be substituted: ocean whitefish (*Caulolatilus princeps*), opaleye (*Girella nigricans*), blacksmith (*Chromis punctipinnis*), or pacific mackerel (*Scomber japonicus*).
- b. For fish tissue analysis, one composite sample of ten individuals of each target shall be collected within each of the three zones. Sampling should take place in late summer/early fall and should focus upon a consistent size class of fish. All tissue samples shall be analyzed for:

**Table E-10. Seafood Safety Monitoring Requirements**

Parameter	Units	Sample Type	Minimum Sampling Frequency
% moisture	%	composite of muscle tissue from 10 individuals of each of 5 species	No later than year 4
% lipid	%	composite of muscle tissue from 10 individuals of each of 5 species	No later than year 4
Arsenic	µg/kg	composite of muscle tissue from 10	No later than year 4

Parameter	Units	Sample Type	Minimum Sampling Frequency
		individuals of each of 5 species	
Mercury	µg/kg	composite of muscle tissue from 10 individuals of each of 5 species	No later than year 4
Selenium	µg/kg	composite of muscle tissue from 10 individuals of each of 5 species	No later than year 4
DDT	µg/kg	composite of muscle tissue from 10 individuals of each of 5 species	No later than year 4
PCB as aroclors	µg/kg	composite of muscle tissue from 10 individuals of each of 5 species	No later than year 4
PCB as congeners	µg/kg	composite of muscle tissue from 10 individuals of each of 5 species	No later than year 4

## 2. Regional Seafood Safety Survey

This regional survey is designed to determine if seafood tissue levels within the Southern California Bight are below levels that ensure public safety. The data collected will be used to assess levels of contaminants in the edible tissue of commercial or recreationally important fish within the Bight relative to Advisory Tissue Concentrations.

Sampling Design - A regional survey of edible tissue contaminant levels in fish within the Southern California Bight shall be conducted at least once every ten years, encompassing a broader set of sampling sites and target species than those addressed in the local seafood survey. The objective is to determine whether any unexpected increases or decreases in contaminant levels have occurred in non-target species and/or at unsampled sites. The final survey design may be determined cooperatively by participants represented on a Regional Steering Committee or by the State of California's Office of Environmental Health and Hazard Assessment. The Discharger shall provide support to a Regional Seafood Safety Survey by participating in or performing the following activities:

- Participation on the Steering Committee;
- Participation on the relevant Technical Committees (e.g., Information Management, Field Methods and Logistics, Fish and Invertebrates, Chemistry);
- Tissue chemical analysis; and,
- Data management

This level of participation in the Bight'08 survey was consistent with that provided by the Discharger to the previous surveys. The next regional survey is expected to occur in 2018 and 2023, and the Discharger's level of participation shall be consistent with that provided in previous surveys.

## E. Kelp Bed Monitoring

This regional survey is designed to determine if the extent of kelp beds in the Southern California Bight is changing over time and if some beds are changing at rates different than others. The data collected in this regional survey will be used to assess the status and trends in kelp bed health and spatial extent.

The Discharger shall participate in the Central Region Kelp Survey Consortium (CRKSC) to conduct regional kelp bed monitoring in Southern California coastal waters. The CRKSC design is based upon quarterly measures of kelp canopy extent using aerial imaging. The

Discharger shall participate in the management and technical committee's responsibility for the final survey design and shall provide financial support to help fund the survey based upon the number of participants in the study in an amount not to exceed a maximum of \$10,000 per year. The information gained by the City through participation may be used to evaluate whether the discharge impacts help beds near the outfall.

## **IX. OTHER MONITORING REQUIREMENTS**

### **A. Outfall and Diffuser Inspection**

This survey is designed to ensure that the outfall structures are in serviceable condition and that they can continue to be operated safely. The data collected will be used for a periodic assessment of the integrity of the outfall pipe and ballasting system.

The ocean outfall (001) shall be inspected externally a minimum of once per year. Inspections shall include general observations and photographic/videographic records of the outfall pipe and adjacent ballast ocean bottom. The pipe shall be visually inspected by a diver, manned submarine, or remotely operated vehicle. A summary report shall be submitted by August 1 of each year for the previous year. This written report, augmented with videographic and/or photographic images, will provide a description of the observed condition of the outfall structure from shallow water to the termini.

### **B. Biosolids and Sludge Management**

The Discharger must comply with all Clean Water Act and regulatory requirements of 40 CFR § 257, 258, 501, and 503, including all applicable monitoring, record keeping, and reporting requirements.

## **X. REPORTING REQUIREMENTS**

### **A. General Monitoring and Reporting Requirements**

1. The Discharger shall comply with all Standard Provisions (Attachment D) related to monitoring, reporting, and recordkeeping.
2. If there is no discharge during any reporting period, the report shall so state.
3. Each monitoring report shall contain a separate section titled "Summary of Non-compliance" which discusses the compliance record and the corrective actions taken or planned that may be needed to bring the discharge into full compliance with waste discharge requirements. This section shall clearly list all non-compliance with discharge requirements as well as all excursions of effluent limitations.
4. The Discharger shall inform the Regional Water Board well in advance of any proposed construction or maintenance activity, or modification to the POTW that could potentially affect compliance with applicable requirements.
5. The date and time of sampling (as appropriate) shall be reported with the analytical values determined.
6. The laboratory conducting analyses shall be certified by the State Water Resources Control Board, Division of Drinking Water, Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program (ELAP), in accordance with CWC section 13176, or approved by the Regional Water Board Executive Officer, in consultation with the State Water Board's Quality Assurance Program, and USEPA for that particular parameter and must include quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) data in their reports. A copy of the laboratory certification shall be provided each time a new/renewal certification is obtained from ELAP and must be submitted with the annual summary report. Each monitoring report must affirm in writing that: "All analyses were conducted at a laboratory certified for such

analyses by the the State Water Resources Control Board, Division of Drinking Water, Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program (ELAP), or approved by the Regional Water Board Executive Officer (in consultation with the State Water Board's Quality Assurance Program), and in accordance with current USEPA guideline procedures or as specified in this MRP."

7. Non-detect levels reported for the Oxnard Wastewater Treatment Plant effluent are generally higher than effluent limitations or water quality objectives for DDT, chlordane, PCBs and PAHs. Therefore, the Discharger shall strive for lower analytical detection levels than those specified in Appendix II of the 2015 Ocean Plan.
8. Upon request by the Discharger, the Regional Water Board, in consultation with the State Water Board's Quality Assurance Program and/or USEPA, may establish an ML that is not contained in Appendix II of the 2015 Ocean Plan, to be included in the Discharger's NPDES permit, in any of the following situations:
  - a. When the pollutant under consideration is not included in Appendix II;
  - b. When the Discharger agrees to use a test method that is more sensitive than those specified in 40 CFR § 136 (most recent revision);
  - c. When the Discharger agrees to use an ML lower than those listed in Appendix II;
  - d. When the Discharger demonstrates that the calibration standard matrix is sufficiently different from that used to establish the ML in Appendix II and proposes an appropriate ML for their matrix; or
  - e. When the Discharger uses a method whose quantification practices are not consistent with the definition of an ML. Examples of such methods are the USEPA-approved method 1613 for dioxins and furans, method 1624 for volatile organic substances, and method 1625 for semi-volatile organic substances. In such cases, the Discharger, Regional Water Board, State Water Board and USEPA shall agree on a lowest quantifiable limit, and that limit will substitute for the ML for reporting and compliance determination purposes.
9. Records and reports of marine monitoring surveys conducted to meet receiving water monitoring requirements shall include, at a minimum, the following information:
  - a. A description of climatic and receiving water characteristics at the time of sampling (weather observations, unusual or abnormal amounts of floating debris, discoloration, wind speed and direction, swell or wave action, time of sampling or measurements, tidal stage and height, etc.).
  - b. The date, exact place and description of sampling stations, including differences unique to each station (e.g., date, time, station location, depth, and sample type).
  - c. A list of the individuals participating in field collection of samples or data and description of the sample collection and preservation procedures used in the various surveys.
  - d. A description of the specific method used for laboratory analysis, the date(s) the analyses were performed and the individuals participating in these analyses.
  - e. An in-depth discussion of the results of the survey. All tabulations and computations shall be explained.
10. The Discharger shall arrange all reported data in a tabular format. The data shall be summarized to clearly illustrate whether the facility is operating in compliance with this Order.

11. The Discharger shall attach a cover letter to the monitoring reports. The information contained in the cover letter shall clearly identify violations of the Order; discuss corrective actions taken or planned; and the proposed time schedule for corrective actions. Identified violations must include a description of the requirement that was violated and a description of the violation.

**B. Self-Monitoring Reports (SMRs)**

1. The Discharger shall electronically submit SMRs using the State Water Board's California Integrated Water Quality System (CIWQS) Program website ([http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water\\_issues/programs/ciwqs/](http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water_issues/programs/ciwqs/)). The CIWQS website will provide additional information for SMR submittal in the event there will be a planned service interruption for electronic submittal.
2. The Discharger shall report in the SMR the results for all monitoring specified in this Order. The Discharger shall submit monthly, quarterly, semiannual, and annual SMRs including the results of all required monitoring using USEPA-approved test methods or other test methods specified in this Order. SMRs are to include all new monitoring results obtained since the last SMR was submitted. If the Discharger monitors any pollutant more frequently than required by this Order, the results of this monitoring shall be included in the calculations and reporting of the data submitted in the SMR.
3. Monitoring periods and reporting for all required monitoring shall be completed according to the following schedule, except where specific monitoring periods and reporting dates are required elsewhere in the Order.

**Table E-11. Monitoring Periods and Reporting Schedule**

Sampling Frequency	Monitoring Period Begins	Monitoring Period	SMR Due Date
Continuous	Permit effective date	All	Submit with monthly SMR
Daily	Permit effective date	(Midnight through 11:59 PM) or any 24-hour period that reasonably represents a calendar day for purposes of sampling.	Submit with monthly SMR
Weekly	Sunday following Permit effective date or on Permit effective date if on a Sunday	Sunday through Saturday	Submit with monthly SMR
Monthly	First day of calendar month following Permit effective date or on Permit effective date if that date is first day of the month	1 <sup>st</sup> day of calendar month through last day of calendar month	Submit with monthly SMR
Quarterly	Closest of January 1, April 1, July 1, or October 1 following (or on) Permit effective date	January 1 to March 31 April 1 to June 30 July 1 to September 30 October 1 to December 31	By the 15th day of the second month after the month of sampling
Semiannually	Closest of January 1 or July 1 following (or on) Permit effective date	January 1 to June 30 July 1 to December 31	May 15 November 15
Annually	January 1 following (or on) Permit effective date	January 1 through December 31	August 15

4. Reporting Protocols. The Discharger shall report with each sample result the applicable reported Minimum Level (reported ML, also known as the Reporting Level, or RL) and the current Method Detection Limit (MDL), as determined by the procedure in 40 CFR §136.

The Discharger shall report the results of analytical determinations for the presence of chemical constituents in a sample using the following reporting protocols:

- a. Sample results greater than or equal to the reported ML shall be reported as measured by the laboratory (i.e., the measured chemical concentration in the sample).
- b. Sample results less than the reported ML, but greater than or equal to the laboratory's MDL, shall be reported as "Detected, but Not Quantified," or DNQ. The estimated chemical concentration of the sample shall also be reported.

For the purposes of data collection, the laboratory shall write the estimated chemical concentration next to DNQ. The laboratory may, if such information is available, include numerical estimates of the data quality for the reported result. Numerical estimates of data quality may be percent accuracy ( $\pm$  a percentage of the reported value), numerical ranges (low to high), or any other means considered appropriate by the laboratory.

- c. Sample results less than the laboratory's MDL shall be reported as "Not Detected," or ND.
  - d. Dischargers are to instruct laboratories to establish calibration standards so that the ML value (or its equivalent if there is differential treatment of samples relative to calibration standards) is the lowest calibration standard. At no time is the Discharger to use analytical data derived from extrapolation beyond the lowest point of the calibration curve.
5. Compliance Determination. Compliance with effluent limitations for reportable pollutants shall be determined using sample reporting protocols defined above and Attachment A of this Order. For purposes of reporting and administrative enforcement by the Regional Water Board and State Water Board, the Discharger shall be deemed out of compliance with effluent limitations if the concentration of the reportable pollutant in the monitoring sample is greater than the effluent limitation and greater than or equal to the reported Minimum Level (ML).
  6. Multiple Sample Data. When determining compliance with a measure of central tendency (arithmetic mean, geometric mean, median, etc.) of multiple sample analyses and the data set contains one or more reported determinations of "Detected, but Not Quantified" (DNQ) or "Not Detected" (ND), the Discharger shall compute the median in place of the arithmetic mean in accordance with the following procedure:
    - a. The data set shall be ranked from low to high, ranking the reported ND determinations lowest, DNQ determinations next, followed by quantified values (if any). The order of the individual ND or DNQ determinations is unimportant.
    - b. The median value of the data set shall be determined. If the data set has an odd number of data points, then the median is the middle value. If the data set has an even number of data points, then the median is the average of the two values around the middle unless one or both of the points are ND or DNQ, in which case the median value shall be the lower of the two data points where DNQ is lower than a value and ND is lower than DNQ.
  7. The Discharger shall submit SMRs in accordance with the following requirements:

The Discharger shall arrange all reported data in a tabular format. The data shall be summarized to clearly illustrate whether the facility is operating in compliance with interim and/or final effluent limitations. The Discharger is not required to duplicate the

submittal of data that is entered in a tabular format within CIWQS. When electronic submittal of data is required and CIWQS does not provide for entry into a tabular format within the system, the Discharger shall electronically submit the data in a tabular format as an attachment.

8. The Discharger shall attach a cover letter to the SMR. The information contained in the cover letter shall clearly identify violations of the waste discharge requirements; discuss corrective actions taken or planned; and the proposed time schedule for corrective actions. Identified violations must include a description of the requirement that was violated and a description of the violation.

#### **C. Discharge Monitoring Reports (DMRs)**

DMRs are USEPA reporting requirements. The Discharger shall electronically certify and submit DMRs together with SMRs using Electronic Self-Monitoring Reports module eSMR 2.5 or any upgraded version. Electronic DMR submittal shall be in addition to electronic SMR submittal. Information about electronic DMR submittal is available at the DMR website at: [http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water\\_issues/programs/discharge\\_monitoring](http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water_issues/programs/discharge_monitoring).

#### **D. Other Reports**

1. Pretreatment Report

The Discharger shall submit annual pretreatment reports to the Regional Water Board, with copies to the State Water Board, and USEPA Region 9, describing the Discharger's pretreatment activities over the period. The annual reports shall contain, but not be limited to, the information required in the attached Pretreatment Reporting Requirements (Attachment I), or an approved revised version thereof. If the Discharger is not in compliance with any conditions or requirements of this Order, the Discharger shall include the reasons for noncompliance and shall state how and when the Discharger will comply with such conditions and requirements.

An Enhanced Source Control study was completed in 2017 and the results will be used to improve the pretreatment program when modifications to the recycled water program include Indirect Potable Reuse (IPR). Resulting modifications to the pretreatment program shall be reported in the annual report.

2. The Discharger shall report the results of any special studies, chronic toxicity testing, TRE/TIE, Pollutant Minimization Program (PMP), and Pollution Prevention Plan required by Special Provisions – section VIII.B. The Discharger shall submit reports in compliance with SMR reporting requirements described in subsection X.B. above.

3. Annual Summary Report

By August 15 of each year, the Discharger shall submit an annual report containing a discussion of the previous year's influent/effluent results (including the average and peak flow for the year), the date of the outfall inspection, and upgrades to the treatment plant's collection system, the treatment processes, or the outfall system. The Discharger shall submit annual reports to the Regional Water Board in accordance with the requirements described in subsection X.B.7. above.

Each annual monitoring report shall contain a separate section titled "Reasonable Potential Analysis" which discusses whether or not reasonable potential was triggered for pollutants which do not have a final effluent limitation in the NPDES permit. This section shall contain the following statement: "The analytical results for this sampling period did/did not trigger reasonable potential." If reasonable potential was triggered, then the following information should also be provided:



- a. A list of the pollutant(s) that triggered reasonable potential;
- b. The Ocean Plan criteria that was exceeded for each given pollutant;
- c. The concentration of the pollutant(s);
- d. The test method used to analyze the sample; and,
- e. The date and time of sample collection.

The Discharger shall submit to the Regional Water Board, together with the first monitoring report required by this permit, a list of all chemicals and proprietary additives which could affect this waste discharge, including quantities of each. Any subsequent changes in types and/or quantities shall be reported promptly.

#### 4. Receiving Water Monitoring Report

An annual summary of the receiving water monitoring data collected during each sampling year (January-December) shall be prepared and submitted so that it is received by the Regional Water Board by August 15 of the following year. The annual summary shall include data tables and a description of receiving water data.

A detailed Receiving Water Monitoring Biennial Assessment Report of the data collected during the two previous calendar sampling years (January-December) shall be prepared and submitted so that it is received by the Regional Water Board by September of every other year. Any effluent compliance issues during that period shall also be discussed. This report shall include a description of the nearfield zone and an in-depth analysis of the biological and chemical data following the *Model Monitoring Program Guidance Document* (Schiff, K.C., J.S. Brown and S.B. Weisberg, 2001. *Model Monitoring Program for Large Ocean Dischargers in Southern California*. SCCWRP Tech. Rep #357. *Southern California Coastal Water Research Project*, Westminster, CA. 101 pp.). Data shall be tabulated, summarized, graphed where appropriate, analyzed, interpreted, and generally presented in such a way as to facilitate ready understanding of its significance. Spatial and temporal trends shall be examined and compared. The relationship of physical and chemical parameters shall be evaluated. See also Section VIII of this MRP. All receiving water monitoring data shall be submitted in accordance with the California Environmental Data Exchange Network (CEDEN), when the system accepts data such as bioassessment /taxonomic data and continuous data. The Discharger shall submit all receiving water monitoring data in accordance with CEDEN, when feasible. An electronic copy of the receiving water document shall also be submitted to the CIWQS, the state electronic data repository.

The first assessment report shall be due August 15, 2020, and cover the sampling periods of January-December 2018 and January-December 2019. Subsequent reports shall be due August 15, 2022, and August 15, 2024, to cover sampling periods of January 2020-December 2021 and January 2022-December 2023, respectively.

#### 5. Outfall Inspection Report

By August 1 of each year, a summary report of the outfall inspection findings for the previous calendar year shall be prepared and submitted to the Regional Water Board. This written report, augmented with videographic and/or photographic images, shall provide a description of the observed external condition of the discharge pipes from shallow water to their respective termini.

The first summary report shall be due August 1, 2020, covering the monitoring period from January 2019 – December 2019.

6. Technical Report on Preventive and Contingency Plans

The Regional Water Board requires the Discharger to file with the Regional Water Board, within 90 days after the effective date of this Order, a technical report on its preventive (failsafe) and contingency (cleanup) plans for controlling accidental discharges, and for minimizing the effect of such events. The technical report should:

- a. Identify the possible sources of accidental loss, untreated waste bypass, and contaminated drainage. Loading and storage areas, power outage, waste treatment unit outage, and failure of process equipment, tanks, and pipes should be considered.
- b. Evaluate the effectiveness of present facilities and procedures and state when they become operational.
- c. Describe facilities and procedures needed for effective preventive and contingency plans.
- d. Predict the effectiveness of the proposed facilities and procedures and provide an implementation schedule contingent interim and final dates when they will be constructed, implemented, or operational

## ATTACHMENT F – FACT SHEET

### CONTENTS

I.	Permit Information .....	F-3
II.	Facility Description .....	F-5
	A. Description of Wastewater and Biosolids Treatment and Controls .....	F-5
	B. Discharge Points and Receiving Waters .....	F-8
	C. Summary of Existing Requirements and Self-Monitoring Report (SMR) Data. ....	F-9
	D. Compliance Summary .....	F-13
	E. Receiving Water Description .....	F-14
	F. Planned Changes .....	F-15
III.	Applicable Plans, Policies, and Regulations. ....	F-17
	A. Legal Authorities .....	F-17
	B. California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) .....	F-17
	C. State and Federal Laws, Regulations, Policies, and Plans .....	F-17
	D. Impaired Water Bodies on the CWA section 303(d) List .....	F-20
	E. Other Plans, Policies and Regulations .....	F-20
IV.	Rationale for Effluent Limitations and Discharge Specification. ....	F-22
	A. Discharge Prohibitions .....	F-23
	B. Technology-Based Effluent Limitations .....	F-23
	C. Water Quality-Based Effluent Limitations (WQBELs) .....	F-25
	1. Scope and Authority .....	F-25
	2. Applicable Beneficial Uses and Water Quality Criteria and Objectives .....	F-25
	3. Expression of WQBELs .....	F-25
	4. Determining the Need for WQBELs .....	F-26
	5. WQBEL Calculations .....	F-27
	6. Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) .....	F-30
	D. Final Effluent Limitation Considerations .....	F-32
	1. Anti-Backsliding Requirements .....	F-32
	2. Antidegradation Policies .....	F-32
	3. Stringency of Requirements for Individual Pollutants .....	F-33
	E. Interim Effluent Limitations – Not Applicable .....	F-39
	F. Land Discharge Specifications – Not Applicable .....	F-39
	G. Recycling Specifications – Not Applicable .....	F-39
V.	Performance Goals .....	F-39
VI.	Rationale for Receiving Water Limitations. ....	F-41
	A. Surface Water .....	F-41
	B. Groundwater – Not Applicable .....	F-41
VII.	Rationale for Provisions. ....	F-41
	A. Standard Provisions .....	F-41
	B. Special Provisions .....	F-41
VIII.	Rationale for Monitoring and Reporting Requirements. ....	F-43
	A. Influent Monitoring .....	F-43
	B. Effluent Monitoring .....	F-43
	C. Whole Effluent Toxicity Testing Requirements .....	F-47
	D. Receiving Water Monitoring .....	F-47
	1. Surface Water and Benthic Monitoring .....	F-47
	2. Groundwater – Not Applicable .....	F-47
	E. Other Monitoring Requirements .....	F-47
	1. Outfall Inspection .....	F-47

2. Biosolids/Sludge Monitoring .....	F-47
3. Discharge Monitoring Report-Quality Assurance (DMR-QA) Study Program .....	F-47
IX. Public Participation .....	F-48
A. Notification of Interested Parties .....	F-48
B. Written Comments .....	F-48
C. Public Hearing .....	F-48
D. Reconsideration of Waste Discharge Requirements .....	F-48
E. Information and Copying .....	F-49
F. Register of Interested Persons .....	F-49
G. Additional Information .....	F-49

## TABLES

Table F-1. Facility Information .....	F-3
Table F-2. Outfall Description .....	F-8
Table F-3. Historic Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Data (Conventional/Non-Conventional Pollutants) .....	F-9
Table F-4. Historic Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Data for Toxic Constituents .....	F-10
Table F-5. Violations .....	F-14
Table F-6. Planned Changes .....	F-16
Table F-7. Basin Plan Beneficial Uses .....	F-17
Table F-8. Ocean Plan Beneficial Uses .....	F-18
Table F-9. Summary of TBELs in 40 CFR part 133.102 .....	F-23
Table F-10. Summary of TBELs for POTWs established by the 2015 Ocean Plan .....	F-23
Table F-11. Summary of TBELs for Discharge Point 001 .....	F-24
Table F-12. Pollutants with Background Seawater Concentration .....	F-28
Table F-13. Ocean Plan Water Quality Objectives (Co) .....	F-28
Table F-14. Proposed Water Quality Objectives (Ce) .....	F-29
Table F-15. Summary of Final Effluent Limitations for Discharge Point 001 .....	F-34
Table F-16. Effluent Monitoring Frequency Comparison .....	F-44

## ATTACHMENT F – FACT SHEET

As described in section I of this Order, the Regional Water Board incorporates this Fact Sheet as findings of the Regional Water Board supporting the issuance of this Order. This Fact Sheet includes the legal requirements and technical rationale that serve as the basis for the requirements of this Order.

This Order has been prepared under a standardized format to accommodate a broad range of discharge requirements for dischargers in California. Only those sections or subsections of this Order that are specifically identified as “not applicable” have been determined not to apply to this Discharger. Sections or subsections of this Order not specifically identified as “not applicable” are fully applicable to this Discharger.

### I. PERMIT INFORMATION

The following table summarizes administrative information related to the facility.

**Table F-1. Facility Information**

<b>WDID</b>	4A560105001
<b>Discharger</b>	City of Oxnard Municipal Corporation
<b>Name of Facility</b>	Oxnard Wastewater Treatment Plant (OWTP)
<b>Facility Address</b>	6001 South Perkins Road
	Oxnard, CA 93033-9047
	Ventura County
<b>Facility Contact, Title and Phone</b>	Thien Ng, Assistant Public Works Director, (805) 432-3575
<b>Authorized Person to Sign and Submit Reports</b>	Vince Ines, Interim Operations Manager, (805) 271 2203, Cell (805) 797-7264
<b>Mailing Address</b>	SAME
<b>Billing Address</b>	SAME
<b>Type of Facility</b>	Publicly Owned Treatment Works
<b>Major or Minor Facility</b>	Major
<b>Threat to Water Quality</b>	1
<b>Complexity</b>	A
<b>Pretreatment Program</b>	Yes
<b>Reclamation Requirements</b>	Producer
<b>Facility Permitted Flow</b>	31.7 (million gallons per day)
<b>Facility Design Flow</b>	31.7 (million gallons per day)
<b>Watershed</b>	Ventura Coastal Stream Watershed Management Area
<b>Receiving Water</b>	Pacific Ocean
<b>Receiving Water Type</b>	Ocean waters

- A. The City of Oxnard (hereinafter City, Permittee or Discharger) is the owner and operator of the Oxnard Wastewater Treatment Plant (hereinafter OWTS or Facility or Plant), a Publicly-Owned Treatment Works (POTW). The Regional Water Board has classified the OWTS as a major discharger. It has a Threat to Water Quality and Complexity rating of 1-A pursuant to California Code of Regulations (CCR), Title 23, section 2200.

For the purposes of this Order, references to the “Discharger” or “Permittee” in applicable federal and state laws, regulations, plans, or policy are held to be equivalent to references to the Discharger herein.

The Facility discharges wastewater to the Pacific Ocean, a water of the United States. The discharge was previously regulated by Order R4-2013-0094 and National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) No. CA0054097, adopted on June 6, 2013, and expired on July 26, 2018 and which was administratively extended until the adoption of this Order. Attachment B provides a map of the area around the Facility. Attachment C provides a flow schematic of the Facility.

The Discharger filed a report of waste discharge (ROWD) and submitted an application for renewal of its WDRs and NPDES permit on January 25, 2018. Supplemental information was requested on January 30, 2018, and received on February 22, 2018. The application was deemed complete on March 16, 2018. A site visit was conducted on July 12, 2018 to observe operations and collect additional data to develop permit limitations and requirements for waste discharge.

The Discharger is authorized to discharge subject to waste discharge requirements in this Order at the discharge locations described in Table 2 of this Order. Treated effluent is also provided to the City of Oxnard’s Advanced Water Purification Facility (AWPF) for additional treatment and distribution as recycled water, with discharge regulated under Orders R4-2011-0079-A02 and R4-2008-0083-A01.

Regulations at 40 CFR section 122.46 limit the duration of NPDES permits to a fixed term not to exceed five years. Accordingly, Table 3 of this Order limits the duration of the discharge authorization. However, pursuant to CCR, title 23, section 2235.4, the terms and conditions of an expired permit are automatically continued pending reissuance of the permit if the Discharger complies with all federal NPDES requirements for continuation of expired permits.

- B. Dilution Credits.** On August 1, 2016, Regional Water Board reviewed the “July 25, 2016 Workplan for the City of Oxnard Diffuser Dilution Study” which described a work plan for an initial dilution study of the Ocean discharge from the Oxnard Wastewater Treatment Plant (OWTP) under Order R4-2013-0094. The final results were titled the “City of Oxnard Recycled Water Retrofit Program, Technical Memorandum Ocean Outfall Effluent Dilution Study Draft”, submitted on September 9, 2016. The City consulted with Regional Water Board staff between August 2016 and February 2017 on Cormix and Visual Plume initial dilution model assumptions and recommended an initial dilution ratio between 1:143 and 1:108. The existing dilution factor is 1:98. The ratio of 1:108 was selected as discussed below.

The secondary treated wastewater and brine waste from the AWPF is discharged to the Pacific Ocean through a diffuser at Discharge Point 001. The diffuser pipe is 4 feet in diameter and 1,016 feet long, terminating 5,950 feet offshore. All 170 of the 2-inch diameter diffuser ports are located along the spring line on both sides (opposite-one-another) of the pipe at 12-foot intervals. In addition, there is a single 6-1/4-inch diameter port on the end of the terminal pipe section. The diffuser lies at a minimum of 46 feet below the surface and the ports are angled to discharge at 90 degrees from the center line of the outfall. While the 2016 annual visual observation of the outfall pipe and supporting rip-rap showed a rocky reef community with macroinvertebrates, fish and algae, all lateral ports were flowing freely, with no evidence of external damage holes, cracks or leaks.

On behalf of the City, the consulting firm Exponent applied the USEPA-approved Visual Plumes UM3 model to the flow with the assumption that the ocean mixing need only be modeled on one side of the outfall pipe, with identical and mirror-image hydrodynamics on the opposite side of the centerline. Discharge data from 2009 to 2013 was used to define the

existing discharge conditions of effluent temperature, salinity, and average and maximum flows. Receiving water properties were characterized by the measurements taken between August 2012 and May 2016 at the City of Oxnard Regional Cooperative Offshore Water Quality Station 4392, at the end of the outfall, for each meter between one and 15 meters. Although the zone of initial dilution was defined in the absence of currents, current speeds were used to ensure models were constructed for the period when the least amount of water was available for dilution. Four model scenarios were completed; one for existing conditions and three for AWPf conditions of increasing brine production and flow diversion, up to a maximum flow of 12.5 MGD of finished recycled water.

Both Exponent and Staff completed several model simulations using another USEPA-approved ocean mixing model, CORMIX, which simulates the mixing of the right and left-directed port jets over the centerline of the outfall, under varying initial conditions. USEPA Region 9 reviewed the work plan and discussed the modeling results prepared by Staff and the City using both Cormix and the Visual Plumes models.

Due to the merging of the discharge plumes above the axis of the diffuser, the Regional Water Board prefer the Cormix model for this outfall. Since the operation of the Advanced Water Purification Facility (AWPF) has still not achieved a steady output of 6.5 MGD and the future flows are still not set, the approved modeling case is the baseline of current OWTP conditions with an initial dilution ratio of 1:108. The evidence supporting the calculated initial dilution ratio of 1:108 using the CORMIX Model, based on the City's dilution modelling data input files was transmitted to the City via email on February 17, 2017 and approved by letter from the Regional Board on June 8, 2017.

Additional modeling runs included 6.25 MGD of brine (Phase I), 9.38 MGD of brine (Phase IA) and 12.5 MGD of brine (Phase II). Once the operation of the AWPf is consistently generating brine, the dilution ratio may be revised upon approval from the Regional Water Board. Any modifications to the IWC due to an adjustment of the dilution ratio would require reopening the permit.

## **II. FACILITY DESCRIPTION**

The Oxnard Wastewater Treatment Plant serves a population of 249,050 in the city of Oxnard, the city of Port Hueneme, the United States Naval Bases in Ventura County, and some unincorporated areas of Ventura County. The City of Port of Hueneme and the United States Navy operate separate collection systems, but each discharge to the City of Oxnard's treatment plant. Flow to the plant consists of domestic, commercial and industrial wastewater. For fiscal year 2017, industrial wastewater represented about 11% (low peak) and 21% (high peak) of the total flow to the Facility.

### **A. Description of Wastewater and Biosolids Treatment and Controls**

The Discharger owns and operates the Oxnard Wastewater Treatment Plant, located at 6001 South Perkins Road in Oxnard, California. The OWTP has a total design treatment flow capacity of 31.7 million gallons per day (MGD) of secondary treated effluent. For the period from August 2013 to December 2017, secondary effluent discharge flow from OWTP averaged 18.5 MGD with a maximum daily flow of 29.8 MGD, as reported to the Regional Water Board<sup>1</sup>. Variations in flow associated with the production of recycled water resulted in

---

<sup>1</sup> Recycled water production variations, driven by demand and operational limitations, result in daily variations in OWTS discharge flow. As a result, flow measurements given in EPA NPDES application Form 3510-2A, averaged over a short period, vary from that reported to the Regional Water Board, through CIWQS, averaged over longer periods.

wider variation in flow after 2016, with a minimum flow of 9.3 MGD and an average in the last two years of 14.8 MGD.

1. **Preliminary Treatment and Influent Pump Station:** Preliminary treatment at the headworks consists of an inlet junction structure, bar screens, screenings conveyance, grit removal, and grit conveyance. The influent junction box collects flow from the Southeast Interceptor Sewer and the Northwest Interceptor Sewer as well as tank drainage and return flows from the OWTP. From there, flow is routed to a total of six influent screen channels. Four of the screen channels have mechanical bar screens while the remaining two are equipped with manual bar screens. From there, flow is routed to one of two grit chambers to remove grit and other heavy material that is hauled to an offsite landfill for disposal. Finally, flow is gravity fed to the influent pump station wet well. The influent pump station includes six dry pit submersible pumps. During normal operations three of the six pumps are on duty.
2. **Primary Treatment:** Raw wastewater from the headworks flows to three of four primary sedimentation basins for primary treatment. Each sedimentation basin is 105 feet (ft) in diameter and has a designated sludge collector, sludge pump, and surface scum removal mechanism. The primary treatment process includes facilities for adding ferric chloride and polymer to enhance sedimentation. Ferric chloride destabilizes the suspended particles in the primary influent wastewater to promote flocculation. The addition of polymer after floc formation produces a much larger floc, enhancing the settling of suspended solids in the primary clarifiers.
3. **Secondary Treatment:** The secondary treatment system uses a fixed-film secondary treatment process followed by an air-activated sludge process that removes organic material (biochemical oxygen demand or BOD or BOD<sub>5</sub>20°C) from primary effluent. The secondary treatment system is comprised of biotowers, activated sludge tanks (ASTs), and secondary sedimentation basins (SSTs). The primary effluent flows to an interstage pump station where it is pumped by four circulation pumps over the two existing biotowers. Flow is then pumped by three interstage feed pumps to the ASTs. The OWTP has two ASTs that can be operated in a step-feed configuration. Additionally, each AST has three channels that can be run in series or in parallel. Each pass has fixed fine bubble diffusers fed by five single-stage centrifugal blowers. Five centrifugal blowers supply air to the aeration basins to provide oxygen for the activated sludge microorganisms and mixing of the mixed liquor. Air drawn into the blowers is compressed, and then discharged through dedicated headers to the fine bubble diffusers. Each of the three channels in the ASTs is 450 ft long with a surface water depth of 17 feet.

Flow exiting the ASTs is collected in an effluent channel that flows to the SST inlet channel. This SST inlet channel runs along all eighteen rectangular SSTs to distribute flow. Each SST has plastic flight and chain sludge collectors that send sludge to a centralized return activated sludge (RAS) pump station consisting of a wet well and four mixed flow pumps. Secondary effluent leaving the SSTs flows in the secondary effluent channel that runs along all eighteen SSTs. This secondary effluent then flows by gravity to the Chlorine Contact Tank (CCT) and/or to the Advanced Water Purification Facility (AWPF) lift pump station wet well.

When flow exiting the SSTs is greater than 50 mgd, a portion of the flow is diverted and flows by gravity to two equalization basins (EQ Basin). Each EQ Basin is 2.5 million gallons. When peak flows subside, secondary effluent stored in the EQ basins is



pumped by three vertical mixed flow pumps out of the basins to the CCTs. The EQ basins are also routinely used to balance daily flow and stabilize effluent pump operation

4. **Effluent Disinfection:** Secondary effluent leaving the SSTs and/or EQ Basin flows by gravity or is pumped through a 48-inch secondary effluent line that discharges to the inlet of the CCT adjacent to the Administration Building. The OWTP has two three-pass CCTs. Each pass is 145 feet long. Chlorination using sodium hypochlorite and dechlorination using sodium bisulfite are the final liquid treatment processes at the OWTP. Chlorine contact tanks slow the flow and allow time for disinfection to occur before the chlorine residual is removed by adding sodium bisulfite solution. The reaction between the chlorine residual and sodium bisulfite is essentially immediate. Sodium hypochlorite is added at the secondary clarifier effluent channel located in the north area process tankage, upstream of the EQ basins. Sodium bisulfite is added to the chlorinated effluent at the CCT discharge end prior to final ocean disposal. Secondary uses for sodium hypochlorite in the plant include odor control at the influent manholes and at the secondary effluent feed tie-in to the AWWP.
5. **Effluent Pump Station and Outfall:** The effluent pump station and outfall dispose treated wastewater to the ocean. The system includes in-plant conveyance piping, a pump station with two engine driven pumps, two electric motor variable frequency drive (VFD) pumps, one additional motor driven pump and an outfall. The two engine driven pumps and two VFD pumps are located at the effluent pump station, while the one motor driven pump is located at the effluent end of the CCT. Typically, the motor driven pump is used during low flow conditions while the engine driven pumps are only used for peak flows.  
  
The OWTP has a 6,800-foot outfall that was constructed around 1963 and modified in 1978. It discharges OWTP effluent into the Pacific Ocean through multi-port diffusers offshore of Ormond Beach. It has a capacity of 50 mgd.
6. **Oil and Grease Program:** Although the City is no longer providing oil & grease collection services, the City (Source Control) staff still conduct oil & grease inspection for all grease interceptors within the City collection area. Businesses are contracting with private haulers for oil & grease removal.
7. **Solids Handling:** The solids handling facilities at the OWTP consist of two gravity thickeners for primary sludge thickening, two dissolved air flotation thickeners (DAFTs) for waste activated sludge (WAS) thickening, three anaerobic digesters, and four belt filter presses (BFPs) for dewatering. Primary sludge and scum is pumped from the primary clarifiers to the gravity thickeners. The sludge feed is combined at the thickener feed junction box and discharged to the thickener influent well where it is evenly distributed to prevent short circuiting. Polymer is added to this sludge stream. The purpose of the gravity thickeners is to reduce the liquid content in the primary sludge sent to the digesters. WAS from the secondary clarifiers is pumped from the RAS/WAS pump stations to the DAFTs where polymer is used to improve the separation of the solids from the liquid in the WAS flow. The DAFTs utilize fine air bubbles to float the sludge particles to the surface, where it is then scraped off. Volume reduction from WAS thickening benefits the sludge digestion and dewatering processes by reducing the volume of sludge to be processed, quantity of chemicals required for sludge conditioning, and amount of heat required for digestion. The thickened solids are pumped to the digesters. The main purpose of anaerobic digestion is to biologically decompose organic material in primary and secondary scum and sludge to a stable form in compliance with regulatory requirements for final disposal. Anaerobic digestion also reduces the amount of solids to dewater, reduces the volume of sludge cake that is hauled to the landfill,

reduces pathogens in the sludge and produces digester gas that is high in methane and useful for fueling other equipment. The solids dewatering facility consists of the belt filter press (BFP) process in the Solids Processing Building east of the digesters. The BFP system is designed to concentrate the anaerobically digested sludge from a solids content of less than 3 percent to a range of 18 to 20 percent. Polymer is mixed with digested sludge upstream of the BFPs to promote flocculation and solids capture so that the solids will concentrate into cake form. BFP sludge cake is conveyed to hauling trucks for transport to an offsite landfill.

8. **Water Reclamation:** A portion of secondary effluent flows to the AWPf for advanced treatment that includes microfiltration (MF), reverse osmosis (RO), and ultraviolet/advanced oxidation process (UV/AOP). As previously mentioned, the AWPf finished water is produced for reuse and future recharge. Presently, the AWPf has equipment to produce 6.25 mgd of finished water. The MF backwash wastewater is returned to the OWTP's headworks, and the design flow of 1.55 MGD RO brine is commingled with the OTWP's secondary-treated effluent and discharged to the Pacific Ocean.

Recycled water is currently being distributed for non-potable Title 22 uses, primarily irrigation. The Discharger is seeking approval for a recycled water program that will inject advanced tertiary treated recycled water for later withdrawal and distribution for agricultural, industrial, commercial and domestic uses.

9. **Pretreatment:** The OWTP has an industrial wastewater Pretreatment Program which is approved by USEPA and the Regional Water Board. The City's staff manages a pretreatment program that consists of 654 nondomestic dischargers. Thirty-seven of those dischargers are classified and permitted as Significant Industrial Users (SIU), and 12 of the SIUs are Categorical Industrial Users (CIU). The City also regulates and regularly inspects nonsignificant nondomestic dischargers, including 2 ground water remediation sites, 114 discharging auto shops, and 500 food service establishments. The City issues temporary permits to ground water remediation sites and inspects and samples them annually. The auto shops and restaurants are permitted, inspected, and sampled every 2 years. The City does not accept hauled waste at the publicly owned treatment works.

Port Hueneme Water Agency (desalter brine), the Naval Base Ventura County Point Mugu, the Nava Base Ventura County Port Hueneme, and the City of Oxnard (desalter brine) all discharge to the City's wastewater treatment plant, and, with the nondomestic dischargers in this jurisdiction, are managed through the City's pretreatment program.

In October 2017, the City submitted a new local limits study which sets the criteria which industries must meet to ensure water quality objectives will be achieved at the outfall and, especially during the production of recycled water. The document was reviewed by USEPA and approved by the Regional Board on December 14, 2017.

## B. Discharge Points and Receiving Waters

1. After chlorination, the secondary treated effluent is routed to a blending manifold and mixed with brine from the AWPf and then is discharged to the Pacific Ocean through the City of Oxnard's Ocean Outfall (Refer to the Flow Schematic, Attachment C).

**Table F-2. Outfall Description**

Discharge Point Number	001
Diameter of Pipe at Discharge Terminus (feet)	4
Outfall Distance Offshore (feet)	5,950 (including a 1,016-foot diffuser section)

Discharge Point Number	001
Discharge Depth Below Surface Water (feet)	50.5
Latitude	34.1261°
Longitude	-119.1906°

2. The receiving water (Pacific Ocean) off Ormond Beach for the Oxnard WTP discharge is part of the open coastline of the Regional Water Board-designated Ventura Coastal Watershed Management Area.

**C. Summary of Existing Requirements and Self-Monitoring Report (SMR) Data.**

Effluent limitations contained in the existing Order (Order R4-2013-0094) for discharge from Discharge Point 001 (Monitoring Location EFF-001A and EFF-001B) and representative monitoring data from the term of the previous Order are as follows:

**Table F-3. Historic Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Data (Conventional/Non-Conventional Pollutants)**

Parameter	Units	Effluent Limitation in Order R4-2013-0094				Monitoring Data (From August 2013 –December 2017 <sup>2</sup> )		
		Average Monthly	Average Weekly	Maximum Daily	Instan- taneous Maximum	Highest Average Monthly Discharge	Highest Average Weekly Discharge	Highest Daily Discharge
Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD <sub>5</sub> 20°C)	mg/L	30	45	--	--	35	44	93
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	mg/L	30	45	--	--	8.6	19	38
Oil & Grease	mg/L	25	40		75	5.5	5.5	5.5
Settleable Solids	mL/L	1.0	1.5		3.0	0.1	0.1	0.1
Nitrate-N	mg/L	--	--	--	--	1.9	--	1.9
Nitrite-N	mg/L	--	--	--	--	3.4	--	3.4
pH	pH Unit	6.0 (instantaneous minimum) – 9.0 (instantaneous maximum)				7.4	--	7.7
Temperature	°F	--	--	100	--	79	--	79
Turbidity	NTU	75	100	--	225	6.7	--	34.5

Order No R4-2013-0094 established effluent limitations for toxic pollutants based on water quality objectives in the Ocean Plan. A summary of existing effluent limitations and monitoring data of toxic pollutants for the period from August 2013 to December 2017 is shown below.

<sup>2</sup> Discharger effluent concentration data submitted with supplementary application information may vary from these values, which are calculated from daily data reported to CIWQS, because a shorter sampling period is represented in the Report of Waste Discharge.

**Table F-4. Historic Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Data for Toxic Constituents**

Parameter	Units	Effluent Limitation Order R4-2013-0094			Monitoring Data (From August 2013 –December 2017)		
		Average Monthly	Maximum Daily	Instantaneous Maximum	Highest Average Monthly Discharge	Highest Average Weekly Discharge	Highest Daily Discharge
Arsenic (As)	µg/L	--	--	--	1.6	--	1.6
Cadmium (Cd)	µg/L	--	--	--	<0.5	--	<0.5
Chromium VI(Cr)	µg/L	--	--	--	7.1	--	7.1
Copper (Cu)	µg/L	--	--	--	30	--	30
Lead (Pb)	µg/L	--	--	--	19		19
Mercury (Hg)	µg/L	--	--	--	0.38		0.38
Nickel (Ni)	µg/L	--	--	--	6.6		6.6
Selenium (Se)	µg/L	--	--	--	7.1		7.1
Silver (Ag)	µg/L	--	--	--	2.9		2.9
Zinc (Zn)	µg/L	--	--	--	35		35
Cyanide	µg/L	--	--	--	3.2		3.2
Residual Chlorine	mg/L	--	--	--	0.08		0.08
Ammonia-N	mg/L	--	--	--	49.13		49.13
Chronic Toxicity	TUc	--	99	--	25	--	25
Non-Chlorinated Phenolic Compounds	µg/L	--	--	--	25	--	25
Chlorinated Phenolic Compounds	µg/L	--	--	--	<0.58	--	<0.58
Endosulfan	µg/L	--	--	--	<1.99	--	<1.99
Endrin	µg/L	--	--	--	<0.08	--	<0.08
HCH	µg/L	--	--	--	<0.014	--	<0.014
Radioactivity		--	--	--	<0.05	--	<0.05
Gross alpha	pCi/L	--	15	--	10.2	--	10.2
Gross beta	pCi/L	--	50	--	94	--	94
Combined Radium-226 & Radium-228	pCi/L	--	5.0	--	<0.56		<0.56
Tritium	pCi/L	--	20,000	--	--	--	--
Strontium-90	pCi/L	--	8.0	--	--	--	--
Uranium	pCi/L	--	20	--	--	--	--
Acrolein	µg/L	--	--	--	<2.20	--	<2.20
Antimony	µg/L	--	--	--	5.4	--	5.4

Parameter	Units	Effluent Limitation Order R4-2013-0094			Monitoring Data (From August 2013 –December 2017)		
		Average Monthly	Maximum Daily	Instan- taneous Maximum	Highest Average Monthly Discharge	Highest Average Weekly Discharge	Highest Daily Discharge
Bis (2-Chloroethoxy) methane	µg/L	--	--	--	<0.25	--	<0.25
Bis (2-Chloroisopropyl) ether	µg/L	--	--	--	<0.38	--	<0.38
Chlorobenzene	µg/L	--	--	--	<0.21	--	<0.21
Chromium III (Cr)(calculated)	µg/L	--	--	--	5.0	--	5.0
Di-n-Butyl Phthalate	µg/L	--	--	--	<0.24	--	<0.24
Dichlorobenzene	µg/L	--	--	--	<1.65	--	<1.65
Diethyl phthalate	µg/L	--	--	--	<0.15	--	<0.15
Dimethyl phthalate	µg/L	--	--	--	<0.18	--	<0.18
4,6-dinitro-2-methylphenol	µg/L	--	--	--	<0.50	--	<0.50
2,4-dinitrophenol	µg/L	--	--	--	<1.60	--	<1.60
Ethylbenzene	µg/L	--	--	--	<0.17	--	<0.17
Fluoranthene	µg/L	--	--	--	<0.22	--	<0.22
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	µg/L	--	--	--	<1.5	--	<1.5
Nitrobenzene	µg/L	--	--	--	<0.36	--	<0.36
Thallium	µg/L	--	--	--	<2.00	--	<2.00
Toluene	µg/L	--	--	--	<0.22	--	<0.22
Tributyltin	µg/L	--	--	--	<0.01	--	<0.01
1,1,1-trichloroethane	µg/L	--	--	--	<0.38	--	<0.38
Acrylonitrile	µg/L	--	--	--	<1.8	--	<1.8
Aldrin	µg/L	--	--	--	<0.0075	--	<0.0075
Benzene	µg/L	--	--	--	<0.23	--	<0.23
Benzidine	µg/L	0.0068	--	--	<4.00	--	<4.00
Beryllium (Be)	µg/L	--	--	--	0.6	--	0.6
Bis (2-Chloroethyl) ether	µg/L	--	--	--	<0.27	--	<0.27

Parameter	Units	Effluent Limitation Order R4-2013-0094			Monitoring Data (From August 2013 –December 2017)		
		Average Monthly	Maximum Daily	Instan- taneous Maximum	Highest Average Monthly Discharge	Highest Average Weekly Discharge	Highest Daily Discharge
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)- phthalate	µg/L	--	--	--	16	--	16
Carbon tetrachloride	µg/L	--	--	--	<0.33	--	<0.33
Chlordane	µg/L	--	--	--	<0.4	--	<0.4
Chlorodibromo- methane	µg/L	--	--	--	<0.38	--	<0.38
Chloroform	µg/L	--	--	--	5.9	--	5.9
DDT	µg/L	--	--	--	<0.19	--	<0.19
1,4- Dichlorobenzene	µg/L	--	--	--	<0.55	--	<0.55
3,3'- dichlorobenzidine	µg/L	--	--	--	<1.2	--	<1.2
1,2- dichloroethane	µg/L	--	--	--	<0.24	--	<0.24
1,1- dichloroethylene	µg/L	--	--	--	<0.39	--	<0.39
Dichlorobromome- thane	µg/L	--	--	--	<0.28	--	<0.28
Dichloromethane	µg/L	--	--	--	<0.25	--	<0.25
1,3- dichloropropene	µg/L	--	--	--	<0.26	--	<0.26
Dieldrin	µg/L	--	--	--	<0.01	--	<0.01
2,4- Dinitrotolulene	µg/L	--	--	--	<0.18	--	<0.18
1,2-Diphenyl- hydrazine	µg/L	--	--	--	<0.30	--	<0.30
Halomethanes	µg/L	--	--	--	<1.60	--	<1.60
Heptachlor	µg/L	--	--	--	<0.01	--	<0.01
Heptachlor epoxide	µg/L	0.002	--	--	<0.01	--	<0.01
Hexachloro- benzene	µg/L	--	--	--	<0.49	--	<0.49
Hexachloro- butadiene	µg/L	--	--	--	<0.47	--	<0.47
Hexachloroethane	µg/L	--	--	--	<0.52	--	<0.52
Isophorone	µg/L	--	--	--	<0.21	--	<0.21

Parameter	Units	Effluent Limitation Order R4-2013-0094			Monitoring Data (From August 2013 –December 2017)		
		Average Monthly	Maximum Daily	Instan- taneous Maximum	Highest Average Monthly Discharge	Highest Average Weekly Discharge	Highest Daily Discharge
N-Nitrosodi- methylamine	µg/L	--	--	--	<0.14	--	<0.14
N-Nitrosodi-N- propylamine	µg/L	--	--	--	<0.26	--	<0.26
N-Nitrosodi- phenylamine	µg/L	--	--	--	<0.19	--	<0.19
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAH)	µg/L	--	--	--	<2.81	--	<2.81
Total Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs)	µg/L	0.019	--	--	<3.5	--	<3.5
TCDD equivalents	µg/L	0.000000 39	--	--	<1E-8	--	<1E-8
1,1,2,2- tetrachloroethane	µg/L	--	--	--	<0.18	--	<0.18
Tetrachloro- ethylene	µg/L	--	--	--	<0.27	--	<0.27
Toxaphene	µg/L	--	--	--	<0.6	--	<0.6
Trichloroethylene	µg/L	--	--	--	<0.37	--	<0.37
1,1,2- trichloroethane	µg/L	--	--	--	<0.25	--	<0.25
2,4,6- Trichlorophenol	µg/L	--	--	--	0.74	--	0.74
Vinyl chloride	µg/L	--	--	--	<0.33	--	<0.33

#### D. Compliance Summary

Effluent violations for biochemical oxygen demand (BOD<sub>5</sub>20°C) and radioactivity were reported between 2013 and 2017. Violations of the water quality objective are summarized in Table F-5 and a Notice of Violation was given to the Discharger for each. Facility upgrades to prevent future bypasses are described below under section F. Planned Changes.

##### 1. Bypass

Four bypass events were reported to the Regional Water Board in accordance with the requirements of this Order. They are the subject of ongoing enforcement activity.

- a. **May 26, 2017:** less than 10 gallons of primary effluent were spilled when the shaft seal of biocirculation pump #1 failed. Sand bags were used to contain the spill, but fluid entered the gutter on Perkins Road where it was removed before it entered any catch basin or body of water.

- b. **July 16, 2017:** 325,380 gallons of primary effluent were released through the chlorine contact chamber to mingle with fully treated effluent during transport to the ocean outfall diffuser and discharge into the Ocean. The release was attributed to failure of the external power supply, intermittent operation of the emergency standby power generator, and failure of the emergency bypass tank and chlorinator. Flow over the bypass weir fluctuated until power restoration and manual operation of the pump re-established normal operation.
- c. **December 4, 2017:** 193,035 gallons of primary effluent were bypassed to the ocean outfall through the chlorine contact chambers. The release was attributed to failure of the external power supply during the high wind event and Thomas Fire, which burned north of the facility, and intermittent operation of the emergency standby power generator. Manual operation of sewer lift stations prevented additional releases in the collection system. Ormond Beach was closed as a preventative measure, but sampling in the vicinity of the outfall did not identify bacteria exceedances.
- d. **December 7, 2017:** 22 gallons of final effluent foam left the Final Effluent Pump Station Exhaust fan and entered Perkins Road. Sand bags were used to limit the spill, and the fluids were removed before it entered any catch basin or body of water.

**Table F-5. Violations**

<b>Violation</b>	<b>Date</b>
Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD) (5-day @ 20 Deg. C) Monthly Average limit is 30 mg/L and reported value was 34 mg/L at EFF-001B.	11/30/2016
Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD) (5-day @ 20 Deg. C) 30-Day Average limit is 30 mg/L and reported value was 30.6 mg/L at EFF-001B.	10/31/2016
Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD) (5-day @ 20 Deg. C) 30-Day Average limit is 30 mg/L and reported value was 35 mg/L at EFF-001B.	5/31/2015
Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD) (5-day @ 20 Deg. C) 30-Day Average limit is 30 mg/l and reported value was 35 mg/l at EFF-001B.	3/31/2015
Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD) (5-day @ 20 Deg. C) 30-Day Average limit is 30 mg/L and reported value was 31 mg/L at EFF-001B.	2/28/2015
Radiation, Gross Beta Daily Maximum limit is 50 PCi/L and reported value was 94 PCi/L at EFF-001A.	8/4/2014

#### **E. Receiving Water Description**

The OWTP discharges into the Ocean at a one-mile outfall, which lies south of the towns of Ventura and Port Hueneme, north of Mugu lagoon, and offshore of Ormond Beach. The City has monitored the marine conditions since at least 1999 and has annually described the receiving water. The vicinity of the outfall consists of a silty-sandy plain that is generally uninterrupted between Hueneme and Mugu Submarine Canyons, located upcoast and downcoast, respectively, of the outfall. Fish tissue studies confirmed DDT and PCB are present in some species above method detection limits, especially White Croaker. DDT and PCB concentrations in fish tissue are lower than consumption thresholds and those measured in other parts of Santa Monica Bay. Sediment concentrations of DDT rose from 2005 through 2010, and then decreased to at or below method detection limits in 2012 to 2016. PAH



showed similar variability in sediment sampling. PCB concentrations are below detection in sediment across the study periods.

#### F. Planned Changes

1. **Wastewater effluent sampling location modification** – In 2015 the City of Oxnard began taking samples at EFF-001B in accordance with the NPDES Permit No. CA0054097, Order No. R4-2013-0094. Previously, effluent samples were taken at the Chlorine Contact Tank Location (EFF-001A). The new effluent sampling location (EFF-001B) consists of a mixing tank where proportionate sample flow from secondary effluent and Reverse Osmosis (RO) concentrate from the Advanced Water Purification Facility (AWPF) are blended. Violations of BOD and elevated bacteria counts have been traced to regrowth in the sample tubing between the last chlorination point in OWTS and the sampling point. Due to this problem, this Order allows a separate sampling point for Biochemical Oxygen Demand, bacteria and TSS, as requested by the Discharger on June 9, 2017, at EFF-001A.
2. **Operation of the Advanced Water Purification Facility (AWPF)** – In 2015, the City began delivering recycled water from its AWPF for recycled uses. Depending on the demand for recycled water, approximately 4 MGD to 16 MGD of secondary effluent is diverted through the AWPF, which is capable of producing up to 12.5 MGD of advanced treated recycled water with a maximum brine flow rate of 3.1 MGD. The future final production of the AWPF is 25 MGD expected to result in commensurate changes in brine production and concentration.
3. **Enhanced primary settling** – Before 2018, Oxnard used polymer to enhance primary settling of solids in the primary clarifiers. Presently, the City has stopped using polymer in the primary clarifiers, but has plans to install permanent polymer equipment as part of the primary clarifier rehabilitation project
4. **Spill Prevention** - The City of Oxnard has experienced spills of primary effluent since 2013. The most recent occurred in December 2017. The following is a list of corrective measures underway to prevent future occurrences:
  - a. Operation and Maintenance Activities
    - i. One Primary Clarifier will be kept off line and used, if necessary, to hold flow in the event that the interstage pumping system fails.
    - ii. The B-2 breaker was re-installed and the co-generator has been made operational.
    - iii. Chlorine contact tank (CCT) emergency chlorinator solenoid was replaced.
    - iv. Bio-Circulation Pump #1 is being rebuild. Once installed, the pump will be used during Interstage failures.
    - v. The power distribution control system installation is complete and the system is operational.
  - b. Capital Improvement Activities
    - i. The existing main electrical building and switchgears will be replaced through the City's 2-year capital improvements program.

- ii. The existing emergency standby generator will be replaced through the City's 5-year capital improvements program.
- c. Training Activities
  - i. Staff are being trained to utilize the influent pump station during loss of inter-stage pumping capabilities.
  - ii. Staff are being trained to utilize tie-breaker operations during loss of co-generation power production.
  - iii. Staff are being trained to utilize and follow the City's Primary Effluent Bypass Contingency Plan and Reporting Procedures

A summary of facility improvements is provided in Table F-6.

**Table F-6. Planned Changes**

Item	Project Schedule
Headworks Odor Control System	2017-2020
Primary Clarifier, Biotowers, Activated Sludge Tank Rehabilitation	2017-2018
Replace Belt Filter Presses and Conveyors	2017-2021
Interstage, Effluent pump rehabilitation	2019-2022
Cogenerators rehabilitations	2017-2020
Plant Motor Control Center/Transformers/Emergency Standby Generator Replacement	2020-2022
Rehabilitate Central Trunk (47), Harbor Blvd (12), Pleasant Valley (14) and Redwood Tributary (38) existing manholes	2018-2020
Install new 24-inch Rice Avenue Sewer	2020-2022

5. **Pretreatment:** On November 17-18, 2014, an explosion and fire at the Santa Clara Waste Water facility, located at 815 Mission Rock Road, resulted in property damage and injury. The facility was permitted by the City of Oxnard under the pretreatment requirements of R4-2013-0094 and the OWTs accepted waste water from the facility for treatment. The City's permit for Santa Clara Waste Water Facility was under review at the time of the accident as an effluent violation for Gross Beta radioactivity was measured on August 4, 2014. The facility was ultimately identified as the source of the radioactive waste, possibly associated with oil field pumping fluids, and the pretreatment permit was revoked. No other violations of water quality objectives were directly related to the operation of the facility. The USEPA coordinated enforcement actions concerning the fire and chemical releases and then led an additional review of the pretreatment program at Oxnard. Pretreatment upgrades include a revision of the Local Limits and Sewer Use Ordinance and adoption of a new Enforcement Response Plan granting additional authority to investigate and respond to instances of industrial user noncompliance. The new ordinance is scheduled to be heard by the City Council in

February 2019. Additional staffing, training, tracking, and permit revision are all underway.

### III. APPLICABLE PLANS, POLICIES, AND REGULATIONS.

The requirements contained in this Order are based on the requirements and authorities described in this section.

#### A. Legal Authorities

This Order serves as WDRs pursuant to article 4, chapter 4, division 7 of the California Water Code (commencing with section 13260). This Order is also issued pursuant to section 402 of the federal Clean Water Act (CWA) and implementing regulations adopted by the USEPA and chapter 5.5, division 7 of the Water Code (commencing with section 13370). It shall serve as an NPDES permit authorizing the Discharger to discharge into waters of the United States at the discharge location described in Table 2 subject to the WDRs in this Order.

#### B. California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA)

Under Water Code section 13389, this action to adopt an NPDES permit is exempt from CEQA. See also *County of Los Angeles v. State Water Resources Control Board (2006) 143 Cal.App.4th 985, 1007*.

#### C. State and Federal Laws, Regulations, Policies, and Plans

1. **Water Quality Control Plan.** The Regional Water Board adopted a Water Quality Control Plan for the Los Angeles Region (Basin Plan) on June 13, 1994 that has been occasionally amended and designates beneficial uses, establishes water quality objectives (WQOs), establishes prohibitions, and contains implementation programs and policies to achieve those objectives for all waters addressed through the plan. Requirements in this Order implement the Basin Plan including its subsequent amendments. Beneficial uses applicable to the Pacific Ocean are as follows:

**Table F-7. Basin Plan Beneficial Uses**

Discharge Point	Receiving Water Name	Beneficial Use(s)
001	Ormond Beach	<u>Existing:</u> Industrial water supply (IND); navigation (NAV); hydropower generation (POW); water contact recreation (REC-1); non-contact water recreation (REC-2); commercial and sport fishing (COMM); marine habitat (MAR); wildlife habitat (WILD); rare, threatened or endangered species (RARE); and, shellfish harvesting (SHELL). <u>Potential:</u> Spawning, reproduction, and/or early development (SPWN).
001	Pacific Ocean Nearshore	<u>Existing:</u> IND, NAV, REC-1, REC-2, COMM, MAR, WILD, preservation of biological habitats (BIOL), RARE, migration of aquatic organisms (MIGR), SPWN, and SHELL. <u>Potential:</u> None.
001	Pacific Ocean Offshore	<u>Existing:</u> NAV, REC-1, REC-2, COMM, MAR, WILD, RARE, MIGR, SPWN, and SHELL. <u>Potential:</u> None.

2. **Thermal Plan.** The State Water Board adopted the Water Quality Control Plan for Control of Temperature in the Coastal and Interstate Waters and Enclosed Bays and Estuaries of California (Thermal Plan), on January 7, 1971, and amended this plan on September 18, 1975. This plan contains temperature objectives for coastal and inland surface waters. Requirements of this Order implement the Thermal Plan. The limit was changed from maximum daily to instantaneous maximum to comply with the thermal plan.
3. **Ocean Plan.** The State Water Board adopted the Water Quality Control Plan for Ocean Waters of California, California Ocean Plan (Ocean Plan) in 1972 and amended it in 1978, 1983, 1988, 1990, 1997, 2000, 2005, 2009, 2012, and 2015. The State Water Board adopted the latest Ocean Plan amendment, to incorporate a Desalination Amendment, on May 6, 2015, and it became effective on January 28, 2016. The Ocean Plan is applicable, in its entirety, to point source discharges to the ocean. The Ocean Plan identifies beneficial uses of ocean waters of the state to be protected as summarized below.

**Table F-8. Ocean Plan Beneficial Uses**

Discharge Point	Receiving Water	Beneficial Uses
Outfall 001	Pacific Ocean	Industrial water supply; water contact and non-contact recreation, including aesthetic enjoyment; navigation; commercial and sport fishing; mariculture; rare and endangered species; marine habitat; fish migration; fish spawning; and shellfish harvesting. preservation and enhancement of designated Areas of Special Biological Significance (ASBS) <sup>3</sup> .

4. **Santa Monica Bay Restoration Plan.** The OWTP discharges to the Ocean where predominant currents flow south to Santa Monica Bay, one of the most heavily used recreational areas in California. Recognizing the importance of the Bay as a national resource, the State of California and USEPA nominated Santa Monica Bay in the National Estuary Program, and Congress subsequently included Santa Monica Bay in the program. The USEPA, with support from the Santa Monica Bay Restoration Commission, developed the Bay Restoration Plan (BRP), which serves as a blueprint for restoring and enhancing the Bay. The Regional Water Board plays a lead role in the implementation of the BRP. One of the proposed priorities of the BRP are reduction of pollutants of concern at the source (including municipal wastewater treatment plants) and implementation of the mass emission approach for discharges of pollutants to the Bay.
5. **Alaska Rule.** On March 30, 2000, USEPA revised its regulation that specifies when new and revised state and tribal water quality standards (WQS) become effective for CWA purposes (40 CFR part 131.21, 65 Federal Register 24641 (April 27, 2000)). Under the revised regulation (also known as the Alaska Rule), new and revised standards submitted to USEPA after May 30, 2000, must be approved by USEPA before being used for CWA purposes. The final rule also provides that standards already in effect and

<sup>3</sup> There is no ASBS designated area in the vicinity of this discharge.